RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 3 0 2006

?∏nt Førm_

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00x
U.S. Petent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| Decket Number (Optional)

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		A-4251 (191930-1050)	
n May 30, 2006	First Named Inventor Smith, et al		
Brooke French	Art Unit		Examiner
yped or printed ame	2614	, , ,	Salce, Jason P.
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provide	ched sheet(d.	s).	
am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) attorney or agent of record. Registration number	<i></i>	Typec (770) 933-950	d or printed name
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34	May 30, 2006 Date		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entl Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*	re interest or ti	eir representative	(s) are required.

This collection of information is required by 36 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 36 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.4 and 41.8. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments of the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mall Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 3 0 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Confirmation No.: 1916

Smith, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2614

Serial No.: 08/990,973

Examiner: Salce, Jason P.

Filed: December 15, 1997

Docket No.: A-4251 (191930-1050)

For: Interactive Subscription Television Terminal

REMARKS IN SUPPORT OF PRE-APPEAL BRIEF CONFERENCE

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants submit the following remarks in support of a Request for a Pre-Appeal Brief

Conference.

Serial No.: 08/990.973 Art Unit: 2614 Page 2

REMARKS

I. Status

Claims 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Reiter, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,751,578) in further view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697). Claims 33, 39-40, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Kirschner, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,253,157) in further view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697). Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 104(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Kirschner, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,253,157) in further view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697) in further view of Reiter, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,751,578). Claims 34-36, 38, 41, 44-45, and 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Kirschner, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,253,157) in further view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697) in further view of Iwashita (U.S. Patent No. 4,928,168). Claims 37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Kirschner, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,253,157) in further view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697) in further view of Couch, et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,752,876). Claims 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Welsh (U.S. Patent No. 4,829,558) in view of Boulton (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,697). Applicant traverses these rejections and respectfully submits that the rejections of record are clearly not proper.

II. Rejection of Independent Claims 27, 31, 33, 47, 53, and 54 and Boulton

Specifically, Applicant submits that the following clear legal deficiency exists in the rejection. Applicant respectfully submits that a representative claimed element, specifically among others, "if the screen of the plurality of screens saved into memory is only accessible through other screens, indicating that the screen of the plurality of screens saved into memory is not to be deleted from memory" is not taught by the references of record either singularly or in combination. The Office Action alleges that Boulton teaches this element:

> Welsh ... does not teach the memory management method of determining if a screen is part of a group of screens, and only

Serial No.: 08/990,973 Art Unit: 2614 Page 3

saving the group of screens in RAM so that they may be directly accessed instead of continuously downloading the screens from a remote system.

Boulton discloses receiving screen data and continuously updating memory depending on the position of the current page being read, where the memory is capable of storing 10-30 pages that are linked to 3-10 pages that have already been viewed (see Column 7, Lines 8-12), thereby teaching that if the screen of the plurality of screens saved into memory is only accessible through other screens, instructing the terminal to indicate that the screen of the plurality of screens saved into memory is not to be deleted from memory.

See Office Action, pp. 4-5.

Applicant respectfully submits that Boulton fails to teach the claimed element. In Boulton, an electronic book publishing method is disclosed.

> A number of page equivalents are ... transferred to RAM for presentation to the user. Preferably, the RAM is continually updating depending upon the position in a presentation so that 10-30 page-equivalents of subsequent text are available along with 3-10 pages of text already viewed. A lesser number of pages of additional modalities are stored to maximize the use of RAM. Thus, a user can advance a great distance in the presentation for material already stored in RAM and can backtrack to some degree while also being able to switch to different modalities. The further a modality is from the current reference, the less likely a switch will be made as quickly, and thus the less need to store as many page equivalents.

(Emphasis added). See Boulton, Col. 7, lines 6-13.

Serial No.: 08/990,973 Art Unit: 2614

Page 4

The electronic system disclosed in *Boulton* determines that more recent pages are more likely to be accessed, so it only keeps in RAM those pages likely to be accessed. If a reader is on page 350, for example, and wants to access page 4, the system of *Boulton* will not have indicated that page 4, a page that is not directly accessible, is not to be deleted from memory. The Advisory Action alleges that "[a]s stated on Page 8 of the previous Office Action, Welsh is used to teach this limitation, not Boulton." *See Advisory Action*, p. 2. However, as indicated above, the previous Office Action uses *Boulton* to teach this limitation. *See Office Action*, pp. 4-5, 9, and 16. Therefore, Applicant challenges the Examiner's statement that *Boulton* discloses the claimed element as clear error. Applicant respectfully submits that for at least this reason, the rejections of claims 27, 31, 33, 47, 53, and 54 are improper and should be withdrawn.

Serial No.: 08/990,973 Art Unit: 2614 Page 5

CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the now pending claims 27-54 are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.

100 Galleria Parkway, NW Suite 1750 Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948

Tel: (770) 933-9500 Fax: (770) 951-0933